# [PROJECT NAME]

## **PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA**

#### **January 16, 2019**

#### **Fact Sheet**

Project Name	
Department and Location	
Sector	
Project Coordinator	
Benefits	
Contract type	
Estimated VGF support requirement and Mode	
Contractual Model	

	SELECTION CRITERIA – KEY DETERMINANT	rs
1	The project selected is for a priority sectoral area. Please attach pre-feasibility study, background notes on the project etc. as part of this Annexure.	Canals or dams $\Box$
		Education facilities $\square$
	(Max 10 points for key sector projects identified on the right	Health facilities $\Box$
	as per PPP Act, 5 points for others)	Housing $\square$
		IT □
		Land reclamation $\square$
		Power generation $\square$
		Roads □
		Sewerage or drainage $\square$
		Solid waste $\square$
		Sports □
		Trade fairs, culture $\Box$
		Urban transport $\Box$
		Water supply/sanitation □
		Wholesale markets □
		Tourism and resort $\Box$
		Any other □

2	How well is the need for this project justified? (Max Points: 10-very high; 6-moderate; 2 - low)
	$\hfill \Box$ Very high: The project addresses real demand challenge of the sector (>15% p.a or demand/capacity ratio > 1.2)
	$\hfill \square$ Moderate: The project demonstrates optimal demand challenge of the sector (5-15% p.a or demand/capacity ratio of 0.8-1.2)
	$\Box$ Low: There is no immediate service or product demand in the sector (<5% p.a or demand/capacity ratio < 0.8)
	Please provide justification for your selection?
3	What added value/relevance does the PPP bring to this project? (Max Points: 10-High; 6 - moderate; 2 - low)
	$\hfill \Box$ Very high value: The partnership will be confidence boosting and open new avenues of partnership opportunities.
	$\square$ Moderate value: The partnership will continue to be a catalyst for future transactions
	$\square$ Low value: The partnership has been extended for such transactions in the past.
	Please provide justification for your selection?
4	The project's results and outputs are in accordance with the needs of the selected targeted groups? (Max Points: 10-very high; 6-moderate; 2- low)
	$\hfill \Box$ Very High: The project overall objective is clearly linked to program priority with high poverty alleviation focus
	$\hfill \square$ Moderate: The partnership objectives are somewhat linked to program output indicators with medium poverty alleviation focus
	$\square$ Low: The partnership objectives are vaguely linked to program output indicators with low poverty alleviation focus
	Please provide justification for your selection

5	Please categorize the riskiness of this transaction. What are the	Please check all risks
3	mitigating measures suggested for this partnership? (Max Points:	involved in this transaction.
	20 Not risky at all; 13-Somewhat risky; 7- High risk)	
		Construction risk□
	Please provide justification for your selection?	Operation risk 🗆
		Funding adequacy risk
		Technology risk 🗌
		Delayed payment risk □
		Regulatory risk □
		Public policy risk □
		Political/legal risk □
		Currency risk □
		Force Majeure risk □
		How risky is the overall
		transaction?
		Less risky: the transaction
		has been executed with
		successful mitigating
		measures□
		Somewhat risky; the
		transaction is risky but
		mitigating measures are in
		place□
		High risk; the transaction is
		first of its kind with little
		management experience□
6	To what extent are communication activities appropriate and	
ŭ	forceful to reach the relevant groups and stakeholders? Will the	The transaction has been
	transaction require additional communication efforts? (Max	executed in the past and
	Points: 10-little or no communication activities; 6-moderate	does not require any new communication efforts. □
	communication activities; 2 – substantial communication activities)	communication enorts.
	•	The transaction does
	Please provide justification for your selection	require moderate activities
		to communicate intent of
		the transaction□
		The transaction requires
		The transaction requires substantial communication
		activities
		40471400

7	To what extent does the project budget demonstrate value for money? (Max Points: 20 – High VFM; 15 – Moderate VFM; 10-Low VFM)	High VFM. Total Public- Sector Comparator (PSC) analysis reflects significant VFM □
	Please provide justification for your selection?	
		Moderate VFM: The Public-Sector Comparator (PSC) analysis reflects moderate VFM □
		Low VFM. The PSC analysis demonstrates a negative or a small VFM□

8	To what extent environmental and safeguard evaluation measures have been mitigated. (Max Points: 10 – Safeguard evaluation in place; 6–Moderate risks; 3 -High environmental risks)	Low environmental risks.  Transaction represents minimum environmental risks with mitigating measures in place
	Please provide justification for your selection?	measures in place
		Moderate environmental risks: The transaction represents moderate risks with some mitigating measures in place □
		High environmental risks: The transaction represents significant environmental risks with no mitigating measures in place□

### **PRE-SELECTION CRITERIA**

Weighting system for the assessment criteria

Assessment criteria cluster	Maximum Points	Points Achieved
Priority sector	10	
Project justification	10	
Project relevance	10	
Contribution to results and outputs	10	
Transaction risk profile	20	
Communication activities required	10	
Value for money	20	
Environment & safe guard evaluation	10	
Total	100	

**Pre-selection criteria:** The transaction should meet a minimum of 70 points to qualify for pre-selection criteria. The above table indicates that a weighting of more than 10 is valued at above the average. This is subjective.

#### **PRIMARY CONTACTS**

Name	Title
	Department / PPP Node